Page 1 of 2 (Page 2 Not for Public Disclosure)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Texas

United States of America	ca		
v. Matthew Nelson Tunstall		a No. 1:21-cr-00223-R	P-1
		M No: 73685-509	
Date of Original Judgment:	04/24/2023	WI NO. 10000 000	
Date of Previous Amended Judgment:		Se	
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)		endant's Attorney	
	DING MOTION FOR		DUCTION
Upon motion of  the defend § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term subsequently been lowered and made re § 994(u), and having considered such mand the sentencing factors set forth in 1	of imprisonment imposed betroactive by the United Stanotion, and taking into according to the Control of the Co	pased on a guideline sent tes Sentencing Commiss unt the policy statement	encing range that has ion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. set forth at USSG §1B1.10
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  ✓ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED  the last judgment issued) of		usly imposed sentence of reduced to	f imprisonment (as reflected in
Defendant is not eligible under Part A of Amen	idment 821 because status points v	were not assessed in this case.	
Defendant is not eligible under Part B of Amen Role) and was engaged in a continuing crimina			
To the extent Defendant may also be seeking recircumstances are not compelling or extraordin fails to allege he exhausted his administrative r	ary and the 3553(a) factors do not	t support an early release. Mo	
Except as otherwise provided, all provi	sions of the judgment dated	04/24/2023 sl	nall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	<i>3 &amp;</i>		
00/00/004		(LAN	nn
Order Date: 02/08/2024		Judge's sign	ature
		Dahart Ditrasas II C	District ludge
Effective Date:	<u> </u>	Robert Pitman, U.S.  Printed name of	
(ij aijjereni jrom order date	シ	r inieu name t	mu mie